1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

13

15

14

16

17

19

18

20

21

FILED IN THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
FASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Jun 08, 2021

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

CHARLES JOSEPH REEVIS,

V.

Plaintiff,

SPOKANE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT,

Defendant.

NO: 2:21-CV-00014-RMP

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

By Order filed April 13, 2021, the Court granted Plaintiff Charles Joseph Reevis thirty days to voluntarily dismiss this action. ECF No. 13. The Court found that Mr. Reevis's *pro se* submission, a two-page Standard Form 95 ("SF 95"), filed while he was incarcerated at the Spokane County Corrections Center, did not support a federal tort claim. *Id.* at 9–10. Consequently, Plaintiff's claims were subject to dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *See McNeil v. United States*, 508 U.S. 106, 110, 113 (1993).

Plaintiff is currently housed at Comprehensive Health Care - Yakima

Competency Restoration, and is proceeding *in forma pauperis*, but without the

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION -- 1

obligation to pay the \$350.00 filing fee for this action. ECF No. 12. Plaintiff did not avail himself of the opportunity to voluntarily dismiss this action.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED:

- **1.** This action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 2. Based on the Court's reading of *Hoffmann v. Pulido*, 928 F.3d 1147, 1152 (9th Cir. 2019), this dismissal will NOT count as a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).
- 3. This case is **DISMISSED** and **CLOSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Clerk is DIRECTED to enter this Order, provide a copy to Plaintiff and CLOSE the file. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith and would lack any arguable basis in law or fact.

DATED June 8, 2021.

s/Rosanna Malouf Peterson
ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON
United States District Judge